

**STORWMATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**  
**OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN**  
**&**  
**LONG TERM POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN**

*for*

**978 NASH ROAD**  
**NEW BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS**

***Prepared For:***

Reliable Bus Lines, Inc.  
978 Nash Road  
New Bedford, Massachusetts 02746

***Prepared By:***

SITEC Environmental, Inc.  
769 Plain Street, Unit C  
Marshfield, MA 02050

April 15, 2016

***STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN  
RELIABLE BUS LINES, INC.  
NEW BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS***

**Table of Contents**

SECTION 1.0	OWNERSHIP
SECTION 2.0	MAINTENANCE AGREEMENTS
SECTION 3.0	ROUTINE & NON-ROUTINE MAINTENANCE TASKS
3.1	CATCHBASINS
3.2	STORM WATER TREATMENT UNIT
3.3	REPORTING
SECTION 4.0	SCHEDULE OF MAINTENANCE
SECTION 5.0	PLAN REFERENCES
SECTION 6.0	DESCRIPTION OF SAFETY MEASURES
SECTION 7.0	ESTIMATED MAINTENANCE BUDGET

## **SECTION 1.0 OWNERSHIP**

Reliable Bus Lines, Inc. herein referred to as the Owner, shall be the owner of the stormwater management system and legally responsible to operate and maintain the storm water management BMPs and implement the Pollution Prevention Plan. If there is a future change in ownership, then future responsible parties shall be notified in writing of their continuing legal responsibility to operate and maintain the storm water BMPs and implement the Pollution Prevention Plan.

The components of the stormwater management system shall include the following components:

- Deep Sump & Hooded Catchbasins
- Storm Water Treatment Unit

## **SECTION 2.0 MAINTENANCE AGREEMENTS**

The Owner shall be the party responsible for managing the maintenance operations of all the components of stormwater management system listed above as described in this Plan. The Owner may perform routine maintenance tasks such as clearing debris throughout the stormwater management system and removing accumulated sediment from the catchbasins and storm water treatment unit. All collected debris and sediments shall be legally disposed of in accordance with federal, state and local regulations.

The rights, liabilities, agreements and obligations herein and set forth in this Plan shall run with the property and be legally binding to all subsequent Owners and their executors, administrators, heirs and assigns.

## **SECTION 3.0 ROUTINE & NON-ROUTINE MAINTENANCE TASKS**

### **3.1 CATCH BASINS**

Inspection of the catch basins shall be conducted two times a year and accumulated sediment within the catch basins shall be removed annually or more often should an inspection find a sump sediment depth of 18 inches or more. Annual removal shall take place immediately after the spring pavement sweeping.

### 3.2 STORM WATER TREATMENT UNIT

Inspection of the storm water treatment unit shall be conducted two times a year and sediment within the unit shall be removed annually or more often should an inspection find it necessary. Refer to the attached CDS Guide for Operation, Design, Performance and Maintenance for the CDS Stormwater Treatment Device. These guidelines shall be considered part of the requirements of this Plan.

### 3.3 REPORTING

The system inspector shall keep a log of all of his observations during inspections for all components of the stormwater management system. The inspector shall be responsible for generating a report stating the condition of each component and noting any maintenance work that is needed. The system inspector shall prepare his report to the Owner and the report shall include the attached "Inspection and Monitoring Log". The Owner shall maintain the inspection reports in their file for a period of three years.

### **SECTION 4.0 SCHEDULE OF MAINTENANCE**

The catch basins and storm water unit shall inspected twice a year. Once in the spring, preferably within the first two weeks in April, and once in the fall, preferably within the first two weeks of November.

### **SECTION 5.0 PLAN REFERENCES**

Prepared by SITEC Environmental, Inc.  
769 Plain Street, Unit C  
Marshfield, MA 02050

Site Plan                      Sheet 1 of 2    Dated: March 2, 2016; Revised March 11, 2016  
Construction Details    Sheet 2 of 2    Dated: March 2, 2016; No Revision Date

### **SECTION 6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAFETY MEASURES**

All work shall be performed in accordance with and conform to applicable OSHA standards. Safety goggles, safety vests and hard hats shall be worn at all times.

**SECTION 7.0 ESTIMATED MAINTENANCE BUDGET**

SYSTEM COMPONENT	ESTIMATED COST
Two Catchbasins	\$1,000.00
Storm Water Treatment Unit	\$2,500.00
Reporting	\$750.00
<hr/>	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$4,250.00</b>

# CDS Guide

## Operation, Design, Performance and Maintenance



## CDS®

Using patented continuous deflective separation technology, the CDS system screens, separates and traps debris, sediment, and oil and grease from stormwater runoff. The indirect screening capability of the system allows for 100% removal of floatables and neutrally buoyant material without blinding. Flow and screening controls physically separate captured solids, and minimize the re-suspension and release of previously trapped pollutants. Inline units can treat up to 6 cfs, and internally bypass flows in excess of 50 cfs. Available precast or cast-in-place, offline units can treat flows from 1 to 300 cfs. The pollutant removal capacity of the CDS system has been proven in lab and field testing.


### Operation Overview

Stormwater enters the diversion chamber where the diversion weir guides the flow into the unit's separation chamber and pollutants are removed from the flow. All flows up to the system's treatment design capacity enter the separation chamber and are treated.

Swirl concentration and screen deflection force floatables and solids to the center of the separation chamber where 100% of floatables and neutrally buoyant debris larger than the screen apertures are trapped.

Stormwater then moves through the separation screen, under the oil baffle and exits the system. The separation screen remains clog free due to continuous deflection.

During the flow events exceeding the design capacity, the diversion weir bypasses excessive flows around the separation chamber, so captured pollutants are retained in the separation cylinder.



## Design Basics

There are three primary methods of sizing a CDS system. The Water Quality Flow Rate Method determines which model size provides the desired removal efficiency at a given flow rate for a defined particle size. The Rational Rainfall Method™ and Probabalistic Method are used when a specific removal efficiency of the net annual sediment load is required.

Typically in the Unites States, CDS systems are designed to achieve an 80% annual solids load reduction based on lab generated performance curves for a gradation with an average particle size (d50) of 125-microns ( $\mu\text{m}$ ). For some regulatory environments, CDS systems can also be designed to achieve an 80% annual solids load reduction based on an average particle size (d50) of 75-microns ( $\mu\text{m}$ ).

### Water Quality Flow Rate Method

In many cases, regulations require that a specific flow rate, often referred to as the water quality design flow (WQQ), be treated. This WQQ represents the peak flow rate from either an event with a specific recurrence interval (i.e. the six-month storm) or a water quality depth (i.e. 1/2-inch of rainfall).

The CDS is designed to treat all flows up to the WQQ. At influent rates higher than the WQQ, the diversion weir will direct most flow exceeding the treatment flow rate around the separation chamber. This allows removal efficiency to remain relatively constant in the separation chamber and reduces the risk of washout during bypass flows regardless of influent flow rates.

Treatment flow rates are defined as the rate at which the CDS will remove a specific gradation of sediment at a specific removal efficiency. Therefore they are variable based on the gradation and removal efficiency specified by the design engineer.

### Rational Rainfall Method™

Differences in local climate, topography and scale make every site hydraulically unique. It is important to take these factors into consideration when estimating the long-term performance of any stormwater treatment system. The Rational Rainfall Method combines site-specific information with laboratory generated performance data, and local historical precipitation records to estimate removal efficiencies as accurately as possible.

Short duration rain gauge records from across the United States and Canada were analyzed to determine the percent of the total annual rainfall that fell at a range of intensities. US stations' depths were totaled every 15 minutes, or hourly, and recorded in 0.01-inch increments. Depths were recorded hourly with 1-mm resolution at Canadian stations. One trend was consistent at all sites; the vast majority of precipitation fell at low intensities and high intensity storms contributed relatively little to the total annual depth.

These intensities, along with the total drainage area and runoff coefficient for each specific site, are translated into flow rates using the Rational Rainfall Method. Since most sites are relatively small and highly impervious, the Rational Rainfall Method is appropriate. Based on the runoff flow rates calculated for each intensity, operating rates within a proposed CDS system are determined. Performance efficiency curve determined from full scale laboratory tests on defined sediment PSDs is applied to

calculate solids removal efficiency. The relative removal efficiency at each operating rate is added to produce a net annual pollutant removal efficiency estimate.

### Probabilistic Rational Method

The Probabilistic Rational Method is a sizing program CONTECH developed to estimate a net annual sediment load reduction for a particular CDS model based on site size, site runoff coefficient, regional rainfall intensity distribution, and anticipated pollutant characteristics.

The Probabilistic rational method is an extension of the rational method used to estimate peak discharge rates generated by storm events of varying statistical return frequencies (i.e.: 2-year storm event). Under this method, an adjustment factor is used to adjust the runoff coefficient estimated for the 10-year event, correlating a known hydrologic parameter with the target storm event. The rainfall intensities vary depending on the return frequency of the storm event under consideration. In general, these two frequency dependent parameters increase as the return frequency increases while the drainage area remains constant.

These intensities, along with the total drainage area and runoff coefficient for each specific site, are translated into flow rates using the Rational Method. Since most sites are relatively small and highly impervious, the Rational Method is appropriate. Based on the runoff flow rates calculated for each intensity, operating rates within a proposed CDS are determined. Performance efficiency curve on defined sediment PSDs is applied to calculate solids removal efficiency. The relative removal efficiency at each operating rate is added to produce a net annual pollutant removal efficiency estimate.

### Treatment Flow Rate

The inlet throat area is sized to ensure that the WQQ passes through the separation chamber at a water surface elevation equal to the crest of the diversion weir. The diversion weir bypasses excessive flows around the separation chamber, thus helping to prevent re-suspension or re-entrainment of previously captured particles.

### Hydraulic Capacity

CDS hydraulic capacity is determined by the length and height of the diversion weir and by the maximum allowable head in the system. Typical configurations allow hydraulic capacities of up to ten times the treatment flow rate. As needed, the crest of the diversion weir may be lowered and the inlet throat may be widened to increase the capacity of the system at a given water surface elevation. The unit is designed to meet project specific hydraulics.

## Performance

### Full-Scale Laboratory Test Results

A full-scale CDS unit (Model CDS2020-5B) was tested at the facility of University of Florida, Gainesville, FL. This full-scale CDS unit was evaluated under controlled laboratory conditions of pumped influent and the controlled addition of sediment.

Two different gradations of silica sand material (UF Sediment & OK-110) were used in the CDS performance evaluation. The particle size distributions (PSD) of the test materials were

analyzed using standard method "Gradation ASTM D-422 with Hydrometer" by a certified laboratory. UF Sediment is a mixture of three different U.S. Silica Sand products referred as: "Sil-Co-Sil 106", "#1 DRY" and "20/40 Oil Frac". Particle size distribution analysis shows that the UF Sediment has a very fine gradation ( $d_{50} = 20$  to  $30 \mu\text{m}$ ) covering a wide size range (uniform coefficient  $C_u$  averaged at 10.6). In comparison with the hypothetical TSS gradation specified in the NJDEP (New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection) and NJCAT (New Jersey Corporation for Advanced Technology) protocol for lab testing, the UF Sediment covers a similar range of particle size but with a finer  $d_{50}$  ( $d_{50}$  for NJDEP is approximately  $50 \mu\text{m}$ ) (NJDEP, 2003). The OK-110 silica sand is a commercial product of U.S. Silica Sand. The particle size distribution analysis of this material, also included in Figure 1, shows that 99.9% of the OK-110 sand is finer than 250 microns, with a mean particle size ( $d_{50}$ ) of 106 microns. The PSDs for the test material are shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Particle size distributions for the test materials, as compared to the NJCAT/NJDEP theoretical distribution.

Tests were conducted to quantify the CDS unit (1.1 cfs (31.3-L/s) design capacity) performance at various flow rates, ranging from 1% up to 125% of the design capacity of the unit, using the 2400 micron screen. All tests were conducted with controlled influent concentrations approximately 200 mg/L. Effluent samples were taken at equal time intervals across the entire duration of each test run. These samples were then processed with a Dekaport Cone sample splitter to obtain representative sub-samples for Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC – ASTM Standard Method D3977-97) and particle size distribution analysis.

## Results and Modeling

Based on the testing data from the University of Florida, a performance model was developed for the CDS system. A regression analysis was used to develop a fitting curve for the scattered data points at various design flow rates. This model, which demonstrated good agreement with the laboratory data, can then be used to predict CDS system performance with respect to SSC removal for any particle size gradation assuming sandy-silt type of inorganic components of SSC. Figure 2 shows CDS predictive performance for two typical particle size gradations (NJCAT gradation and OK-110 sand).




Figure 2. CDS stormwater treatment predictive performance for various particle gradations as a function of operating rate.

Many regulatory jurisdictions set a performance standard for hydrodynamic devices by stating that the devices shall be capable of achieving an 80% removal efficiency for particles having a mean particle size (d50) of 125 microns (WADOE, 2008). The model can be used to calculate the expected performance of such a PSD (shown in Figure 3). Supported by the laboratory data, the model indicates (Figure 4) that the CDS system with 2400 micron screen achieves approximately 80% removal at 100% of design flow rate, for this particle size distribution (d50 = 125 μm).




Figure 3. PSD with d50 = 125 microns, used to model performance for Ecology submittal.




Figure 4. Modeled performance for CDS unit with 2400 microns screen, using Ecology PSD.

## Maintenance

The CDS system should be inspected at regular intervals and maintained when necessary to ensure optimum performance. The rate at which the system collects pollutants will depend more heavily on site activities than the size of the unit, e.g., unstable soils or heavy winter sanding will cause the grit chamber to fill more quickly but regular sweeping of paved surfaces will slow accumulation.

## Inspection

Inspection is the key to effective maintenance and is easily performed. Pollutant deposition and transport may vary from year to year and regular inspections will help insure that the system is cleaned out at the appropriate time. At a minimum, inspections should be performed twice per year (i.e. spring and fall) however more frequent inspections may be necessary in climates where winter sanding operations may lead to rapid accumulations, or in equipment washdown areas. Additionally, installations should be inspected more frequently where excessive amounts of trash are expected.

The visual inspection should ascertain that the system components are in working order and that there are no blockages or obstructions to inlet and/or separation screen. The inspection should also identify evidence of vector infestation and accumulations of hydrocarbons, trash, and sediment in the system. Measuring pollutant accumulation can be done with a calibrated dipstick, tape measure or other measuring instrument. If sorbent material is used for enhanced removal of hydrocarbons then the level of discoloration of the sorbent material should also



be identified during inspection. It is useful and often required as part of a permit to keep a record of each inspection. A simple form for doing so is provided.

Access to the CDS unit is typically achieved through two manhole access covers. One opening allows for inspection and cleanout of the separation chamber (screen/cylinder) and isolated sump. The other allows for inspection and cleanout of sediment captured and retained behind the screen. For units possessing a sizable depth below grade (depth to pipe), a single manhole access point would allow both sump cleanout and access behind the screen.

The CDS system should be cleaned when the level of sediment has reached 75% of capacity in the isolated sump and/or when an appreciable level of hydrocarbons and trash has accumulated. If sorbent material is used, it should be replaced when significant discoloration has occurred. Performance will not be impacted until 100% of the sump capacity is exceeded however it is recommended that the system be cleaned prior to that for easier removal of sediment. The level of sediment is easily determined by measuring from finished grade down to the top of the sediment pile. To avoid underestimating the level of sediment in the chamber, the measuring device must be lowered to the top of the sediment pile carefully. Finer, silty particles at the top of the pile typically offer less resistance to the end of the rod than larger particles toward the bottom of the pile. Once this measurement is recorded, it should be compared to the as-built drawing for the unit to determine if the height of the sediment pile off the bottom of the sump floor exceeds 75% of the total height of isolated sump.

## Cleaning

Cleaning of the CDS systems should be done during dry weather conditions when no flow is entering the system. Cleanout of the CDS with a vacuum truck is generally the most effective and convenient method of excavating pollutants from the system. Simply remove the manhole covers and insert the vacuum hose into the sump. The system should be completely drained down and the sump fully evacuated of sediment. The area outside the screen should be pumped out also if pollutant build-up exists in this area.

In installations where the risk of petroleum spills is small, liquid contaminants may not accumulate as quickly as sediment. However, an oil or gasoline spill should be cleaned out immediately. Motor oil and other hydrocarbons that accumulate on a more routine basis should be removed when an appreciable layer has been captured. To remove these pollutants, it may be preferable to use adsorbent pads since they are usually less expensive to dispose than the oil/water emulsion that may be created by vacuuming the oily layer. Trash can be netted out if you wish to separate it from the other pollutants. The screen should be power washed to ensure it is free of trash and debris.


Manhole covers should be securely seated following cleaning activities to prevent leakage of runoff into the system from above and also to ensure proper safety precautions. Confined Space Entry procedures need to be followed. Disposal of all material removed from the CDS system should be done in accordance with local regulations. In many locations, disposal of evacuated sediments may be handled in the same manner as disposal of sediments removed from catch basins or deep sump manholes. Check your local regulations for specific requirements on disposal.



CDS Model	Diameter		Distance from Water Surface to Top of Sediment Pile		Sediment Storage Capacity	
	ft	m	ft	m	yd <sup>3</sup>	m <sup>3</sup>
CDS2015-4	4	1.2	3.0	0.9	0.5	0.4
CDS2015	5	1.5	3.0	0.9	1.3	1.0
CDS2020	5	1.5	3.5	1.1	1.3	1.0
CDS2025	5	1.5	4.0	1.2	1.3	1.0
CDS3020	6	1.8	4.0	1.2	2.1	1.6
CDS3030	6	1.8	4.6	1.4	2.1	1.6
CDS3035	6	1.8	5.0	1.5	2.1	1.6
CDS4030	8	2.4	4.6	1.4	5.6	4.3
CDS4040	8	2.4	5.7	1.7	5.6	4.3
CDS4045	8	2.4	6.2	1.9	5.6	4.3

Table 1: CDS Maintenance Indicators and Sediment Storage Capacities

Note: To avoid underestimating the volume of sediment in the chamber, carefully lower the measuring device to the top of the sediment pile. Finer silty particles at the top of the pile may be more difficult to feel with a measuring stick. These finer particles typically offer less resistance to the end of the rod than larger particles toward the bottom of the pile.





## Support

- Drawings and specifications are available at [www.contechstormwater.com](http://www.contechstormwater.com).
- Site-specific design support is available from our engineers.



800.925.5240

[contechstormwater.com](http://contechstormwater.com)

©2008 CONTECH Stormwater Solutions

CONTECH Construction Products Inc. provides site solutions for the civil engineering industry. CONTECH's portfolio includes bridges, drainage, sanitary sewer, stormwater and earth stabilization products. For information on other CONTECH division offerings, visit [contech-cpi.com](http://contech-cpi.com) or call 800.338.1122

Nothing in this catalog should be construed as an expressed warranty or an implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose. See the CONTECH standard quotation or acknowledgement for applicable warranties and other terms and conditions of sale.

The product(s) described may be protected by one or more of the following US patents: 5,322,629; 5,624,576; 5,707,527; 5,759,415; 5,788,848; 5,985,157; 6,027,639; 6,350,374; 6,406,218; 6,641,720; 6,511,595; 6,649,048; 6,991,114; 6,998,038; 7,186,058; 7,296,692; 7,297,266; related foreign patents or other patents pending.

**978 Nash Road**

**Stormwater Management Operation and Maintenance Plan - Inspection and Monitoring Log**

<b>Spring</b>	<b>Structure or Activity</b>	<b>Date Completed</b>	<b>Depth of Sediment (if applicable)</b>	<b>Action Taken</b>	<b>Maintenance Contractor</b>
	Stormwater Treatment Unit				
	Catch Basin No. 2				
	Catch Basin No. 3				

**978 Nash Road**

**Stormwater Management Operation and Maintenance Plan - Inspection and Monitoring Log**

<b>Fall</b>	<b>Structure or Activity</b>	<b>Date Completed</b>	<b>Depth of Sediment (if applicable)</b>	<b>Action Taken</b>	<b>Maintenance Contractor</b>
	Stormwater Treatment Unit				
	Catch Basin No. 2				
	Catch Basin No. 3				